Day

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: PUNAGANTI VENKATA et al.

Examiner:

Unassigned

Serial No.:

10/700,365

Group Art Unit:

2171

Filed:

11/03/2003

Docket No.:

NOKM.065PA

Title:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING A UNIFIED FRAMEWORK FOR

SERVICE DISCOVERY

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence and the papers, as described hereinabove, are being deposited in the United States Postal Service, as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May ______, 2004.

By Rennae Johnson Rennae Johnson

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (37 C.F.R. §1.97(b))

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

With regard to the above-identified application, the items of information listed on the enclosed Form 1449 are brought to the attention of the Examiner.

This statement should be considered because it is submitted either within three months of the filing date or before the first Office Action of the above-identified application. Accordingly, no fee is due for consideration of the items listed on the enclosed Form 1449.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(2), and the 05 August 2003 Official Gazette Notice, only a copy of each foreign document or non-U.S. patent/application listed on the enclosed Form 1449 is provided.

Please note that any notations or markings on the attached documents do not reflect particular relevance, or lack thereof, to the present application, nor were they necessarily made by anyone affiliated with the prosecution of the present application.

No representation is made that a reference is "prior art" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 and Applicants reserve the right, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 or otherwise, to establish that the reference(s) are not "prior art." Moreover, Applicants do not represent that a reference has been thoroughly reviewed or that any relevance of any portion of a reference is

Consideration of the items listed is respectfully requested. Pursuant to the provisions of M.P.E.P. 609, it is requested that the Examiner return a copy of the attached Form 1449, marked as being considered and initialed by the Examiner, to the undersigned with the next official communication.

Respectfully submitted,

Crawford Maunu PLLC 1270 Northland Drive Suite 390 St. Paul, MN 55120

St. I aul, IVIIV 3312

651/686-6633

Date: May 10, 2004

intended.

By:

Steven R. Funk Reg. No. 37,830 Date Mailed: May ∏, 2004

Sheet 1 of 1

010			
(MAY 1 4	2004 35		
THE STATE OF THE S	4.		

FORM 1449*

INFORMATION PISCLOSURE STATEMENT IN AN APPLICATION

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Docket Number:	Application Number:	
NOKM.065PA	10/700,365	
Applicant: PUNAGANTI VENKATA et al.		

Group Art Unit: 2171

Filing Date: 11/03/2003

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE	
				<u> </u>			
		ļ					
				<u> </u>			
		Τ		T	TENT DOCUMENTS		
	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSL	1
						YES	NO
		OTUE	POCUMENTS	(Including A	uther Title Date Portinent Peges	Eta \	L
OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) Ritesh Mehta, Service Discovery in Mobile Environments, pages 1 - 12.							
		C. Bettsletter and C. Renner, A Comparison of Service Discovery Protocols and Implementation of the Service Location Protocol, 8 pages.					
						-	19

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form for next communication to the Applicant.